RESOLUTION OF THE SALEM COUNTY AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT BOARD DENYING THE "RIGHT TO FARM ACT" COMPLAINT BY TODD AND STEPHANIE HOLLYWOOD

WHEREAS, the State Agriculture Development Board ("SADC") by Resolution FY2018R7(4), dated July 27, 2017, approved and incorporated "Hearing Officer's Report" by Brian D. Smith, Esq., dated July 27, 2017, and "returned" Todd and Stephanie Hollywood's ("Complainants") Right to Farm Act Complaint to the Salem County Agriculture Development Board ("SCADB") for adjudication according to N.J.A.C. 2:76-2.7(i)1 and (j); and

WHEREAS the SADC specifically approved the subject commercial farming activities conducted by Walker Brothers, LLC ("Farmer"); and

WHEREAS, the SCADB on April 25, 2018, on notice to the Complainants, the commercial farmer Walker Brothers, LLC and Pittsgrove Township held a meeting that complied with the advance public notice requirements of the Open Public Meetings Act at the Salem County Ware Building, Mannington Township, New Jersey to hear the case according to N.J.A.C. 2:76-2.7(j), N.J.A.C. 2:76.8 and N.J.A.C. 2:76-2.3(k), a quorum of the Board being in attendance; and

WHEREAS, the evidence at the hearing focused on the relevant issue, according to N.J.S.A. 4:1C-9, of whether Walker Brothers, LLC commercial farming practice nevertheless posed a direct threat to public health and safety in the context of increasing flood risks in the subject area of Pittsgrove Township, Salem County, New Jersey

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by unanimous vote of the members in attendance at that the SCADB finds and determines as follows:

- 1. Complainants failed to establish that the Farmer's practices, as compared to nature's effects and the geographic contours of the land, caused excess water to run upon their land:
- 2. Farmer's commercial farming practices were not proved to be a direct threat to public health and safety, when compared to

other relevant matters such as Nature and the geographic contours of the land

3. Complainants Right to Farm Act complaint against Farmer is denied on the basis that it proved no cause for action according to the Act and relevant administrative regulations and reported case law precedent.

Andrew Buzby, Chairman

This Attests to the Fact that this Resolution is a True Copy of the Resolution of the SCADC Adopted at its Regular Monthly Meeting on May 23, 2018

Kris Alexander, Secretary